ON THE MESOSTERNUM IN SOME NITIDULIDAE (COLEOPTERA), WITH A KEY TO THE NEW WORLD AMPHICROSSUS

CARL T. PARSONS Manchester Depot, Vermont, 05256

ABSTRACT

The external development of the mesosternum and prosternal process is described and figured, providing useful characters for defining genera. An additional character, an area of the metasternum known as the axillary space, is also discussed and figured. As a result, *Psilopyga* Lec. is separated from *Oxycnemus* Er. and reinstated. Also *Epuraea liebecki* Pars. is redescribed and transferred to *Amphicrossus*. A key is presented for New World *Amphicrossus*.

In the course of defining certain genera in the nitidulid subfamily Nitidulinae it became evident that the external development of the mesosternum offers useful diagnostic characters which require much more explicit description than they have received.

Among nitidulid genera, in the normal position of repose, the prosternal process covers the mesosternum in varying degree, as shown in the figures. In certain genera the prosternal process is compressed apically and variably concave dorsally. Also in these genera the mesosternum is slightly (if at all) elevated. This condition is observed in Omosita, Nitidula, Lobiopa, Soronia, Ipidia, Amphotis, Trimenus, Camptodes, Aethina, Psilopyga, Phenolia, Physosoronia, Epuraea, Stelidota, Haptoncus, and Aphenolia. Among other genera the apex of the prosternal process is bluntly convex, and it varies dorsally from not concave to strongly concave, as in Thalycra, Pocadius, Orthopeplus, Lasiodactylus, Cychramus, Pallodes, Pocadites, Pocadiodes, Atarphia, Teichostethus, Xenostrongylus, Cyllodes, and Oxycnemus. Amphicrossus exhibits an intermediate condition.

An overlooked character is the development of the axillary space at the antero-lateral angle of the metasternum. This space is formed by a caudal marginal line of the mesocoxal cavity which is parallel to the cavity but diverges in varying degree as it approaches the metepisternum. Although the axillary space is absent in a few genera, such as *Epuraea*, *Pallodes*, *Trimenus*, and *Xenostrongylus*, it is usually present and affords a useful character for defining species. It is discussed below under the various genera.

In the course of this study *Oxycnemus* is shown to be composed of 2 distinct groups of species. For 1 group *Psilopyga* Lec. is reinstated. Also the species *Epuraea liebecki* Parsons is transferred to *Amphicrossus*.

I. MESOSTERNUM ALONG MEDIAN LINE ON SAME PLANE AS METASTERNUM:

Omosita (Fig. 1,2): In the genotype colon (L.) the longitudinal carina is usually well developed and easily seen. In funesta Reit. the carina is very fine. In discoidea (F.) the carina is obsolete and very short at anterior margin. In depressa (L.) no carina is evident. The axillary space (Fig. 84) is present in the above species. Nitidula (Fig. 3,4): Not carinate in the genotype rufipes (L.), bipunctata (L.), carnaria (Schall.), flavomaculata Rossi, ziczac Say and with a short obsolete carina in fusula Gebl. A small axillary space (Fig. 85) is present in the above species.



Fig. 1-30: Mesosternum in certain Nitidulidae. Odd numbers show the mesosternum in profile from the left side. Even numbers show ventral views of the mesosternum. Abbreviations as follows: mes—mesosternum, met—anterior part of the metasternum, pr—apical part of prosternal process. 1,2) Omosita colon. 3,4) Nitidula bipunctata. 5,6) Lobiopa undulata. 7,8) Soronia guttulata. 9,10) Ipidia variolosa. 11,12) Amphotis ulkei. 13,14) Trimenus adpressus. 15,16) Camptodes texanus. 17,18) Aethina aeneipennis. 19,20) Psilopyga nigripennis. 21,22) Phenolia grossa. 23,24) Physosoronia explanata. 25,26) Amphicrossus ciliatus. 27,28) Amphicrossus liebecki. 29,30) Epuraea avara.



Fig. 31-60: Mesosternum in certain Nitidulidae. Odd numbers show the mesosternum in profile from the left side. Even numbers show ventral views of the mesosternum. Abbreviations as follows: mes—mesosternum, met—anterior part of the metasternum, pr—apical part of prosternal process, pr ep—prosternal episternum. 31,32) Stelidota geminata. 33,34) Haptoncus luteolus. 35,36) Thalycra parsonsi. 37,38) Pocadius helvolus. 39,40) Aphenolia monogama. 41,42) Orthopeplus guadricollis. 43,44) Lasiodactylus pictus. 45,46) Pallodes pallidus. 47,48) Pocadites dilatimanus. 49,50) Pocadiodes vajdelota. 51,52 Cychramus adustus. 53,54) Xenostrongylus arcuatus. 55,56) Cyllodes ater. 57,58) Teichostethus guatemalenus. 59,60) Oxycnemus fulvus. Lobiopa (Fig. 5,6): Not carinate in undulata (Say), falli Pars., setosa Har., brunnescens Blatch., and insularis (Cast.) except that in some setosa and insularis there may be a short obsolete carina at the anterior margin. A small axillary space (Fig. 86) is present in the above species.

Soronia (Fig. 7,8): A very short obsolete carina may be absent or present at the anterior margin in the genotype *punctatissima* (III.), *guttulata* (Lec.), *grisea* (L.), *oblonga* Bris. The axillary space (Fig. 87) is very small or absent.

Ipidia (Fig. 9,10): Not carinate in the genotype quadrimaculata (Quensel), *sibirica* (Reit.), and *variolosa* Reit. There is a large axillary space (Fig. 88) in the above species.

Amphotis (Fig. 11,12): Not carinate in the genotype marginata (Fabr.) and ulkei Lec. There is a very small axillary space (Fig. 89) in the above species.

Trimenus (Fig. 13,14): Not carinate and no axillary space (Fig. 90) in adpressus Murr.

Camptodes (Fig. 15,16): There is a well developed carina which becomes broader posteriorly in *texanus* Schaef., *nigerrimus* Pars., and *gaumeri* Sharp. In the above 3 species the anterior fifth of the mesosternum is sharply elevated and an axillary space (Fig. 91) is present.

Aethina (Fig. 17,18): The carina is well developed in *aeneipennis* Reit. The axillary space (Fig. 92) is very large extending to the postero-lateral angle of the metasternum.

Psilopyga (Fig. 19,20): There is a well developed carina in the genotype histrina Lec., nigripennis Lec., fasciata Sharp, latus (Sporn.), and lewisi (Reit). The axillary space is well developed in the above species (Fig. 61-65, 93).

II. MESOSTERNUM ALONG MEDIAN LINE ON NEARLY THE SAME PLANE AS METASTERNIUM EXCEPT THAT THE POSTERIOR FOURTH IS FEEBLY ELEVATED:

Phenolia (Fig. 21,22): Not carinate and a small axillary space (Fig. 94) in the genotype grossa (Fabr.).

Physosoronia (Fig. 23, 24): Not carinate and a small distinct axillary space (Fig. 95) in the genotype *explanata* Reit.

III. MESOSTERNUM ON MEDIAN LINE HORIZONTAL ALONG POSTERIOR HALF AND OBLIQUELY ELEVATED ALONG ANTERIOR HALF:

Amphicrossus (Fig. 25-28): Bicarinate along anterior half in the genotype ciliatus (Oliv.), horni Sharp, lateralis Er., limbatus Sharp, liebecki (Pars.), and niger Horn. A small axillary space (Fig. 96,97) is present in the above species.

IV. MESOSTERNUM ALONG MEDIAN LINE WITH POSTERIOR FIFTH TO ONE-HALF OBLIQUELY AND MODERATELY ELEVATED; THE REMAINING ANTERIOR PORTION HORIZONTAL:

Epuraea (Fig. 29, 30): Not carinate. Apparently no axillary space is present except that at least in *avara* (Rand.) and *rufa* (Say) a very small axillary space is discernible (Fig. 98).

Stelidota (Fig. 31, 32): In the genotype geminata (Say), octomaculata (Say), ferruginea Er. (=strigosa of Horn (1879) and Parsons (1943) in error), strigosa Gyll. (=strigosa of Erichson, Reitter, and Sharp), clavigera Sharp, chontalensis Sharp, solitaria Sharp, and multiguttata Reit. the mesosternum is not carinate although very rarely a very fine carina may be seen. The axillary space (Fig. 99) is well developed.



Fig. 61-65: Ventral views of left side of metasternum showing the axillary space [A]. 61) Psilopyga fasciata. 62) Psilopyga species A. 63) Psilopyga histrina. 64) Psilopyga latus. 65) Psilopyga nigripennis. Fig. 66,69,72,75,78,81: ventral views of hypopygidia in Amphicrossus.

Fig. 67,70,73,76,79,82: lateral profiles of hypopygidia in Amphicrossus.

Fig. 68,71,74,77,80,83: lateral profiles of prosterna in Amphicrossus. 66-68) Amphicrossus liebecki. 69-71) Amphicrossus niger. 72-74) Amphi-crossus limbatus. 75-77) Amphicrossus ciliatus. 78-80) Amphicrossus horni. 81-83) Amphicrossus lateralis.



Fig. 84-113: Ventral view of left side of metasternum in certain Nitidulidae. When present the axillary space is shown. 84) Omosita colon, 85) Nitidula bipunctata, 86) Lobiopa undulata, 87) Soronia guttulata, 88) Ipidia variolosa, 89) Amphotis ulkei. 90) Trimenus adpressus, 91) Camptodes texanus, 92) Aethina aeneipennis, 93) Psilopyga nigripennis, 94) Phenolia grossa, 95) Physosoronia explanata, 96) Amphicrossus ciliatus, 97) Amphicrossus liebecki, 98) Epuraea avara, 99) Stelidota geminata, 100) Haptoncus luteolus, 101) Thalycra parsonsi, 102) Pocadius helvolus, 103) Aphenolia monogama, 104) Orthopeplus quadricollis, 105) Lasiodactylus pictus, 106) Pallodes pallidus, 107) Pocadites dilatimanus, 108) Pocadiodes vajdelota, 109) Cychramus adustus, 110) Xenostrongylus arcuatus, 111) Cyllodes ater, 112) Teichostethus guatemalenus, 113) Oxycnemus mandibularis (after Spornraft, not to same scale).

Haptoncus (Fig. 33, 34): In the genotype ocularis (Fairm.), californicus Gill., and luteolus (Er.) the mesosternum is not carinate and there is a small axillary space (Fig. 100).

Thalycra (Fig. 35,36): In the genotype fervida (Oliv.), concolor (Lec.), murrayi (Horn), carolina (Wick.), sinuata Howd., mixta Howd., truncata Howd., dentata Howd., parsonsi Howd., acuta Howd., keltoni Howd., and monticola Howd. there is an obsolete very short carina at the anterior margin, and the axillary space (Fig 101) is very much reduced but distinct.

Pocadius (Fig. 37,38): In the genotype *ferrugineus* (F.), *helvolus* Er., *fulvipennis* Er., *basalis* Schaef., and *niger* Pars. the mesosternum is not carinate and an axillary space (Fig. 102) is present.

Aphenolia (Fig. 39,40): In monogama (Crotch) the mesosternum is not carinate. As shown in Fig. 40 the anterior margin of the metasternum between the mesocoxae has a raised impunctate area. An axillary space is present (Fig. 103).

Orthopeplus (Fig. 41,42): In the genotype quadricollis Horn the mesosternum is not carinate and there is a very small axillary space (Fig. 104).

V. MESOSTERNUM ALONG MEDIAN LINE STRONGLY ELEVATED AND MORE OR LESS COVERED BY THE PROSTERNAL PROCESS.

Lasiodactylus (Fig. 43,44): In pictus Macleay there is an obsolete very short carina at the anterior margin and there is a small axillary space (Fig. 105).

Pallodes (Fig. 45,46): In the genotype pallidus (Beauv.), plateosus Schaef., mexicanus Sharp, and umbratilis Reit. the mesosternum is carinate and there is no axillary space (Fig. 106).

Pocadites (Fig. 47,48): In *dilatimanus* Reit. the mesosternum is not carinate and there is a large axillary space (Fig. 107).

Pocadiodes (Fig. 49,50): In the genotype *vajdelota* (Wank.) the mesosternum is not carinate and there is a large axillary space (Fig. 108).

Cychramus (Fig. 51,52): In the genotype *luteus* (Fabr.) the carina is well developed, whereas in *adustus* Er. and *henoni* Fairm. the carina is slightly developed at anterior margin and absent in *variegatus* (Herbst). The axillary space is well developed in the above species (Fig. 109).

Atarphia (Not figured): In the genotype fasciculata Reit. the mesosternum is not carinate and there is a large axillary space.

Xenostrongylus (Fig. 53,54): In *arcuatus* Kiesw., *deyrollei* Jac. du Val, and *histrio* Woll. the mesosternum is not carinate and there is no axillary space (Fig. 110).

Cyllodes (Fig. 55,56): In the genotype ater (Herbst), biplagiatus Lec., and binotatus Reit. the mesosternum is carinate and strongly elevated but with the two posterior angles, between the mesocoxae, descending to the level of the metasternum. The axillary space (Fig. 111) is well developed in the above species.

Teichostethus (Fig. 57,58): In guatemalenus Sharp the mesosternum is carinate and strongly elevated but with the 2 posterior angles, between the mesocoxae, descending halfway to the level of the metasternum. The axillary space is well developed (Fig. 112).

Oxycnemus (Fig. 59,60): In the genotype fulvus Er., rostrosus Reit.,

and *nigriceps* Reit. the mesosternum is strongly elevated and not carinate. There is a well developed axillary space (Fig. 113).

Oxycnemus compared with Psilopyga

This description of Oxycnemus is based on a male fulvus Er. from ?BRAZIL [B.M.], a male rostrosus Reit. from PANAMA: Chiriqui Prov., Bugaba [B.M.]. and a male nigriceps Reit. from VENEZUELA [LRG]. The description of Psilopyga is based on histrina Lec., nigripennis Lec., fasciatus Sharp, latus (Spornraft), and lewisi(Reit.).

Medium size (length 3.7-7.8mm), oval, convex, glabrous. Head short, clypeus moderately distinct, labrum distinctly bilobed but twice as deeply bilobed in *Psilopyga*. Antennae longer than head; 1st segment much enlarged, as long as 2nd and 3rd combined; segments 2-6 inclusive slender, 2nd as long as 3rd and 4th combined, 6th and 7th segments very short, 7th twice as wide as 6th and really forming part of the club, so the club can be said to be formed of 5 segments. But only the terminal 4 segments are pubescent, the remainder being glabrous. In Psilopyga the terminal 3 segments are densely pubescent and the club can be considered to be formed of 4 segments. Antennal grooves long, parallel, but much less distinct in *Psilopyga*. Mandibles apparently similar in the two genera except that they are very greatly enlarged in Oxycnemus mandibularis and ros-Maxillary and labial palpi much longer and more slender in rostrosus. trosus than in fulvus which has longer palpi than Psilopyga. Mentum very feebly notched anteriorly in *fulvus*, distinctly notched in *rostrosus*, but in Psilopyga not at all notched and rounded (except feebly notched in 1) nigripennis).

Pronotum more strongly emarginate anteriorly than in Psilopyga, nearly as wide as elytra; pronotum posteriorly with short truncate lobe which is slightly narrower than scutellum. The scutellum is large, triangular, with lateral margins sinuate, whereas in Psilopyga the sides are not sinuate. Elytra with apices truncately rounded exposing most of the pygidium, epipleurae broad, attaining the apices, and pubescent; whereas in Psilopyga the epipleurae are glabrous.

Apex of prosternal process (Fig. 59) strongly elevated behind the procoxae and convex, whereas in *Psilopyga* the apex of the prosternal process (Fig. 19) is not elevated behind the procoxae and is depressed. Mesosternum (Fig. 60) not carinate but is strongly elevated, whereas in Psilopyga the mesosternum (Fig. 20) is carinate and on the same level with The inner margin of the metepisternum is nearly the metasternum. straight, whereas in *Psilopyga* it is distinctly sinuate. The eighth dorsal segment of the male is not visible dorsally, whereas it is clearly visible in Male pygidium rounded and with raised margin, whereas in Psilopyga. *Psilopyga* male pygidium is truncate to feebly emarginate and without raised margin. The tegmen is about equal in length to the median lobe, whereas in Psilopyga the tegmen is about twice as long as the median lobe (Spornraft 1971).

Oxycnemus Erichson

Oxycnemus Er., 1843, in Germar, Zeitschr. für Ent. 4:351. Genotype: Oxycnemus fulvus Er. Very recently Spornraft (1971) has revised Oxycnemus, recognizing Psilopyga as a subgenus. For reasons enumerated above I believe Psilopyga should receive generic rank. Arguments for its separation appear stronger than those for separating a number of genera in the nitidulids.

As here restricted this genus is Neotropical, including the following species from BRAZIL: annulipes Reit., aterrimus Reit., mandibularis Sporn., and ruficollis Grouv. plus fulvus Er. (Brazil to Panama), nigriceps Reit. (Brazil, Venezuela), rostrosus Reit. (Mexico to Panama), and nigritus Reit. from South America. But I have seen only fulvus, nigriceps, and rostrosus.

Psilopyga Leconte

Psilopyga Lec., 1853, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 6:286. Genotype: Psilopyga histrina Lec.

Eugoniopus Reit., 1884, Wiener Ent. Zeit. 3: 264, 267. Genotype: Eugoniopus lewisi Reit.

It was Leconte (1883, p. 151) who made *Psilopyga* a synonym of *Oxy*cnemus. Evidently he did not know the genotype of the latter. Sharp (1891) reinstated *Psilopyga* and made *Eugoniopus* a synonym of *Psilopyga*. Although Blatchley (1910) followed Sharp, Grouvelle (1913), Parsons (1943), and Gillogly (1965) followed Leconte.

As here restricted Psilopyga is Holarctic and Oriental, comprising 3 species from the eastern United States: *histrina* Lec., *nigripennis* Lec., and *latus* (Spornraft); plus *fasciatus* Sharp (Mexico and Arizona), *lewisi* (Reit.) (Japan), and *reitteri* (Grouv.) (Burma). I have not seen *reitteri*. The axillary space of *latus* as shown in Fig. 64 is deemed more correct than the one depicted by Spornraft (1971). Sadanari Hisamatsu (personal communication) writes that he has a "new Indian species belonging to *Psilopyga*".

There is an apparently undescribed species, designated Species A, represented by 13 from Patagonia, Arizona [LRG] and 13 from the Huachuca Mts., Arizona [KS]. It differs from *nigripennis* in being less oval, prothorax less emarginate and less narrowed anteriorly, in having a small pale humeral area on each elytron, and in a larger axillary space (Fig. 62).

Amphicrossus Erichson

Amphicrossus Er., 1843, in Germar, Zeit. für Ent. 4:346. Genotype: Nitidula ciliatus Oliv.

The following account is a supplement to my previous papers (1939, 1943). Some additional characteristics of this genus are the bicarinate mesosternum and the unusual development of the male hypopygidium which appears to be composed of 2 coalesced sternites. This character offers a good method for differentiating species and so is figured below.

PARSONS: MESOSTERNUM IN NITIDULIDAE

KEY TO NEW WORLD Amphicrossus

1. Oblong, co	width to length ratio: 1 to 1.8-2 or more, moderately onvex, dark brown
1'. Oval, wi	idth to length ratio: 1 to ± 1.5 (except 1 to ± 1.8 in
ni	<i>ger</i> which is black); strongly convex, except moder-
at	ely so in <i>niger</i> 3
2(1). Small	ler, length 4.5-4.7mm; width to length ratio: 1 to 2+;
no	o tubercles on elytra; male with shiny subquadrate lobe
ne	ear middle of hypopygidium; male with pencil of setae
on	a each elytron, before the middle (Argentina) vicinus Grouv.
2'. Larger, l	length 5.2-6.6mm; width to length ratio: 1 to 1.8; elytra
wi	ith vague rows of obsolete setigerous tubercles; male
wi	ith punctate rounded lobe about one-third from posterior
ma	argin of hypopygidium (Fig. 66). no pencil of setae on
ea	the elytron in the male (Arizona) <i>liebecki</i> Pars.
3(1'). Color	r above unicolorous; lateral elytral fimbriae narrow,
3'. Color abo	ove fuscous with obscure pale markings; lateral elytral
ely	ytral fimbriae broad, noticeably longer at middle, at least
tw	vice as long as width of first antennal segment
4(3). Moder	rately convex; less oval than <i>limbatus</i> ; black; punctures
on	disc of pronotum separated by 3 times their diameter;
pos	esterior margin of pronotum not bisinuate; no pencil of
set	tae on elytron of male. Hypopygidium Fig. 69, 70; pro-
ste	ernum Fig. 71
4'. Strongly of the bis nes	convex; uniformly fuscous above; punctures on disc pronotum much finer and separated by 4 or 5 times eir diameters; posterior margin of pronotum distinctly sinuate; male with pencil of setae on each elytron at or ear the sutural margin. Hypopygidium Fig. 72, 73; pro- ternum Fig. 74
5(3'). Elytra	al fimbriae slightly longer at middle than at anterior or
pos	sterior third, being about twice as long as width of
firs	st antennal segment; pygidium shagreened; punctures
on	pronotum much more coarse. Hypopygidium Fig. 75,
76	; prosternum Fig. 77
5'. Elytral fi	imbriae distinctly longer at midddle than at anterior or
pos	sterior third, being about 2½ times as long as width
of	first antennal segment. Pygidium not distinctly sha-
gre	eened, more shining; punctures on pronotum finer, sepa-
rat	ted by 3 or 4 times their diameter
6(5'). Hypo	pygidium of male (Fig. 81,82) with a small, shiny,
adj	pressed lobe about half way between anterior and pos-
ter	rior margins measured along middle; posterior margin
of	male hypopygidium more broadly rounded; prosternal

Amphicrossus vicinus Grouvelle

Amphicrossus vicinus Grouvelle, 1916, Rev. Mus. La Plata 23:245-6.

I have not seen this species. It is based on a pair collected by Carlos Bruch in the Province of Buenos-Aires, Argentina and is in his collection at the Mus. Argent. Cienc. Nat. Bernard.

Amphicrossus liebecki (Parsons), NEW COMBINATION (Fig. 27,28,66,67,68)

Epuraea liebecki Parsons, 1943, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool 92:189.

With more material available this species is now redescribed. It is placed in *Amphicrossus* even though it has elytral tubercles and is more oblong and less convex than is usual in this genus. Also *A. niger* Horn is intermediate in convexity and outline between *liebecki* and the other New World species. The male hypopygidium shows a strong relationship to *niger*.

Unusually large, length 5.2-6.6mm, distinctly more depressed, more oblong than is usual in the genus; lateral margins of prothorax and elytra easily visible from above. Lateral fringe of hair much shorter than in ciliatus, about as long or slightly shorter than in niger. Color above dark fuscous, beneath pale fuscous including antennae and legs. Vertex with punctures separated by their diameters; larger punctures as large as eye facets. Prothorax with average length to width ratio: 1 to 1.82 (holotype: Because the prothorax is partially deflexed, total length is not 1 to 1.74). a significant figure. Therefore dimensions are given as follows: length of prothorax: holotype 1.9mm, average 1.82mm, range 1.6-2.1mm; width of prothorax: holotype 3.3mm, average 3.3mm, range 2.8-3.7mm; length of elytra: holotype 3.7mm, average 3.56mm, range 3.2-4.2mm; width of elytra: holotype 3.3mm, average 3.23 mm, range 2.9-3.7mm; Pronotal surface densely punctate, punctures separated by about their diameters, each puncture bearing a dark fulvous recumbent hair; intervals finely The sutural three-fifths of each elytron with about 7 vague granulose. rows of obsolete tubercles, each tubercle bearing a recumbent dark fulvous hair twice as long as the hairs borne by the punctures in between which are separated by about twice their diameters. Punctation of pronotum, elytra, and pygidium much denser than in *ciliatus* or *niger*. Male mesoPARSONS: MESOSTERNUM IN NITIDULIDAE

tibia feebly expanded on inner side at apex, whereas the male mesotibia of *niger* and *ciliatus* are simple.

This rare species is known from southern ARIZONA where it is usually collected at blacklight. Holotype male, state label, Liebeck coll. [MCZ]; paratype male, state label [CTP]; paratype male, Huachuca Mts., Carr Canyon, 14-VII-36, M. Cazier [AMNH]; male Patagonia Mts., west slope on Lochiel Rd., 5330 ft., mesquite-chaparral, 14-VII-48, F. Werner, W. Nutting [CTP]; female, Huachuca Mts., Ramsey Canyon, 5350 ft., 10-19-VI-66, F. Werner [U.A.]; male, female, Pajarito Mts., Pena Blanca Canyon, 11-VII-70, K. Stephan [KS]; male, Santa Rita Mts., Madera Canyon, 9-VIII-61, F. Werner, W. Nutting [UA].

Amphicrossus niger Horn

(Fig. 69-71)

Amphicrossus niger Horn, 1879, Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc. 7:317.

This species occurs in southern ARIZONA in old wounds on mesquite trees.

Amphicrossus limbatus Sharp

(Fig. 72-74)

Amphicrossus limbatus Sharp, 1891, Biol. Centr.-Amer. 2(1):349.

This species is known by the male lectotype and female paralectotype from GUATEMALA: Alta Verapaz, Chiacam (male) and Teleman (female) [BM]. Examined. Also 9 specimens [USNM] from PANAMA: Canal Zone, Barro Colorado, June, July, Aug., Sept., 1937, 1942, James Zetek. Two were taken in a fruit fly trap.

In the writer's collection is a female which keys to *limbatus* but differs in having coarser punctation, as in *ciliatus*, and pygidium much less convex, as in *limbatus*. It was taken by F. Werner and W. Nutting in MEXICO: Guerrero, El Gavilan, 13 km. north of Taxco, 5300 ft., oak-pine scrub, 21-VI-48.

Amphicrossus ciliatus (Olivier)

(Fig. 75-77)

Nitidula ciliatus Olivier, 1811, Encycl. Meth. 8:210.

The type of this species "sur les ulcéres du *Liquidambar* en Caroline" could not be found on a recent visit to the Paris Museum. At Wheatly, Ontario, Karl Stephan collected it at sap of poplar on April 3, and at Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland, Alan Gillogly collected it at sap of chestnut oak in mid June. It ranges from Ontario to Florida west to Iowa, Missouri, and Texas (Dallas, Burnet). Also E. A. Schwarz took a specimen on Ceiba on Jan. 22 at Cayamas, CUBA [USNM]. My 1943 record for Old Panama is based on my misidentification of *horni*.

114

Amphicrossus lateralis Erichson

(Fig. 81-83)

Amphicrossus lateralis Er., 1843, in Germar, Zeit. für Ent. 4:348.

The type is from BRAZIL, Para (not examined). The British Museum has 6 specimens from BRAZIL: St. Paulo; Amaz[on]; Tejuca, 1-I-1857, H. Clark; St. Fe de Bogata, labelled "Strongylium bispinosus Dup."

This species is evidently closely related to *horni*. The lateral fimbriae, punctation, placement of male pencils of setae, posterior margin of pronotum are all as in horni.

Amphicrossus horni Sharp

(Fig. 78-80)

Amphicrossus horni Sharp, 1891, Biol. Centr.-Amer. 2(1):349.

Type material: a series from GUATEMALA: Alta Verapaz, Teleman and Chacoj, G. C. Champion [BM]; Suchitepéquez, San Isidro, 1600 ft., at sap, G. C. Champion [BM]. Examined. There are also 3 from PAN-AMA: Old Panama, 31-I-11, E. A. Schwarz [USNM] (2); Barro Colorado Island, 23-24-V-40, James Zetek [USNM] (1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the British Museum I enjoyed the hospitality of R. D. Pope and the loan of specimens by C. M. T. vonHayek. Sadanari Hisamatsu has gen-erously given me many critical genera. Also L. R. Gillogly kindly lent his valuable series of *Oxycnemus* including the holotype of *latus* Spornraft. Other curators and collectors listed below have been most helpful:

American Museum of Natural History [AMNH], Lee Herman; British Museum of Natural History [BM]; California Academy of Sciences [CAS], Hugh B. Leech; Carl T. Parsons [CTP]; Karl Stephan [KS]; Museum of Comparative Zoology [MCZ], John Lawrence; University of Ari-zona [UA], Floyd Werner and M. L. Noller; United States National Museum [USNM], J. M. Kingsolver.

LITERATURE CITED

- BLATCHLEY, W. S. 1910. On the Coleoptera known to occur in Indiana. pp. 645-6.
- GILLOGLY, L. R. 1965. A key to the genera of the subfamily Nitidulinae. Bur. Ent. California Dept. Agr. Occas. Pap. 8, 24pp.
- GROUVELLE, A. 1913. Coleopterorum Catalogus, Pars 56, Byturidae, Niti-223pp. dulidae.
- Revision of the Nitidulidae of the United States. HORN, GEORGE. 1879.
- Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc. 7:267-336.
 LECONTE, J. L., AND HORN, G. H. 1883. Classification of the Coleoptera of North America. Smithsonian Misc. Coll. 26:1-567.
 PARSONS, C. T. 1939. A key to the New World Amphicrossus Erichson
- (Nitidulidae). Bull. Brooklyn Ent. Soc. 34:59-60.
- PARSONS, C. T. 1943. A revision of Nearctic Nitidulidae (Coleoptera). Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 92:121-278.

SHARP, DAVID. 1891. Biol. Centr.-Amer. Coleoptera. 2(1):362-3.

SPORNRAFT, KARL. 1971. Zwei neue Arten der Gattung Oxycnemus Er. und Bestimmungstabelle fur die bisher bekannten Arten. Opus. Zool. 116:1-10.